# RESEARCHtoPRACTICE: Trends in the Field



Survey Data Sources for Substance Abuse Prevention: A Review and Summary

Understanding data has become a critical part of operating and funding social programs. Data is an important gauge for showing change in social conditions, and data indicators measure quality of life and wellness for individuals, their families, and communities. The substance abuse prevention field is more reliant on data now than ever before. The field continues to expand the number of evidence-based interventions offered (as listed on the National Registry of Evidence-based Programs and Practices-NREPP). And, there is growth laterally, as the field continues to define the mutual benefits that transfer to other social concerns; for example, demonstrating how reducing substance abuse contributes to improved health and wellness, increased academic/professional gains, and



the stemming of crime and violence. All these analyses and justifications are made through data.

Those in the substance abuse prevention field may be involved in collecting data, determining community needs, and analyzing data to determine if programs and practices are effective. Equally important, data is used to ensure informed policy and decision making. These efforts involve the use of several types of data, including qualitative and quantitative data. Noted as a priority by the federal government, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) has identified "Data, Outcomes, and Quality" as one of the eight strategic initiatives (Initiative #7) laid out in its guiding plan entitled, *Leading Change: A Plan for SAMHSA's Roles and Actions 2011-2014*.

Initiative #7: Data, Outcomes, and Quality—Realizing an integrated data strategy and a national framework for quality improvement in behavioral health care that will inform policy, measure program impact, and lead to improved quality of services and outcomes for individuals, families, and communities.

### About this publication

This publication focuses on the use of archival survey data and features 12 surveys commonly used by the substance abuse prevention field. A sequence of matrices are provided.

- The first compares the surveys and looks at how and when data are collected, provides access links, and includes analysis tips and features.
- A second matrix provides a quick glance for identifying which surveys ask questions about a particular drug, and shows the specific temporal use category (e.g. 30 day use) for that drug.
- Lastly, there is a matrix telling how each survey's data is aggregated (National, State, Regional, County, Local). It also indicates whether the database is web-based with interactive utility for further analysis and crosstabulation, and whether the data is collected by ethnicity.

A Glossary of terms helps to define many of the common data terms use in the publication.

\*More resources for data use can be found on the CPI website, www.ca-cpi.org. Specifically relevent is the publication series Strategic Prevention Framework Tip Sheets.

# **Data Sources**

Data Source	Monitoring The Future	National Survey on Drug Use and Health	Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System	California Healthy Kids Survey	California Student Survey	California Safe and Healthy Kids Program
Survey Acroynm or alternative term	MTF	NSDUH	YRBSS	CHKS	CSS	CA - SHKP
Purpose	To study the behavior, attitudes, and values of American secondary school students	To provide national and state- level data on the use of tobacco, alcohol, illicit drugs (including non- medical use of prescription drugs) and mental health in the United States	To monitor priority health-risk behaviors, including tobacco, alcohol, and drug use, risky sexual behavior, and the prevalence of obesity and asthma among youth and young adults	To assist schools in developing effective health and youth development programs to prevent risky behaviors and promote positive youth development, resilience, and wellbeing		To monitor the use of prevention programs in California schools
Survey Respondents	Individuals in grades 8, 10, 12	Individuals aged 12 and older	Individuals in grades 9-12	Individuals in grades 5, 7, 9, and 11	Individuals in grades 7, 9, and 11	Local Education Agencies
Type of Sample/Sample Size	Nationally Representative, approximately 50,000/yr	Nationally Representative, approximately 70,000/yr; State Representative, 3,600/yr in California	Nationally representative, approximately 14,000/yr	Representative at the school district-level for California students in grades 7, 9, and 11, approximately 700,000/yr, convenience sample for students in grade 5, approximately 200,000/yr		Convenience sample of schools in California, schools that receive funds from the Title IV Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities or Tobacco Use Prevention Education are required to participate
Data Collection	Annual -1975-present	Annual-1988-present	Biennial 1991-2007	Annual 2002-Present	Biennial 1985-Present	Annual 2006-Present
Waves of Data	34	21	9	6	12	3
Access	http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/cocco. n/SAMHDA/STUDY/25382.xml	http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/coccoo n/SAMHDA/STUDY/26701.xmj	http://www.cdc.gov/HealthyYouth/ yrbs/data/index.htm	http://www.wested.org/cs/chks/pri nl/docs/chks_samplereports.html	http://www.wested.org/cs/we/view_ (pi/572_	http://hkar.duerrevaluation.com/ar <u>O9index.htm</u>
Triangulation Tips	Compare to CSS at the state level and CHKS at the school, district, and county level	Compare to CSS at the state level and CHKS at the school, district, and county level	Compare to CSS at the state level and CHKS at the school, district, and county level	At the local level compare data to other schools, schools districts, or counties with similar demographics. At the state level compare to CHKS aggregate data and CSS. At the national level compare to YRBSS and MTF.	At the state level compare to CHKS aggregate data. At the national level compare to YRBSS and MTF.	Link school, district, or state level treatment data with the CSS or CHKS ATOD use and risk/protective factor data to extrapolate information about treatment efficacy
Descriptive/Predictive	Both	Both	Both	Both	Descriptive	Descriptive
Risk/Protective Factors	Parental influence, self-esteem, attitudes toward religion, educational aspirations, exposure to violence and crime	Neighborhood environment, illegal activities, drug use by friends, social support, extracurricular activities, and perceived adult attitudes toward drug use and activities such as school work		Caring Relationships, High Expectations, and Opportunities for Meaningful Participation in the school, home, community, Caring about Relationships, High Expectations with their peers, Cooperation and Communication, Empathy, Problem Solving, Self- efficacy, Self-awareness, Goals and Aspirations, school connectedness and achievement, school violence and safety, gang involvement, physical and mental health, relationship violence, grades	developmental assets	Caring relationships, school safety, high expectations, meaningful participation, truancy, number of pregnant/parenting minors, parent involvement in school activities
						I

National Survey of Substance Abuse Treatment Services	Drug Abuse Treatment Outcome Studies	Collaborative Psychiatric Epidemiology Surveys	The Partnership Attitude Tracking Study	California Health Interview Survey
N-SSATS	DATOS	CPES	PATS	CHIS
To collect information from all facilities in the United States, both public and private, that provide substance abuse treatment	To advance scientific knowledge about the effectiveness of drug abuse treatment as it is typically delivered in the United States	treatment patterns from	with the largest drug-related	To give a detailed picture of the health and health care needs of California's large and diverse population
Agencies that provide AOD treatment	Individuals aged 18 and older	Individuals aged 18 and older	Adolescents in grades 7-12 and parents of children in grades 4-12	Adults, teens and children
Nationally representative, approximately 13,700 agencies; State representative, approximately 1,700 agencies in California	96 treatment programs, approximately 10,000 clients at intake and 4,300 clients at follow- up in 11 representative mid-size and large US cities including San Jose, CA			Statistically representative sample of adults, teens, and children in the state of California, approximately 50,000 adults, 3,600 teens and 10,000 children
Annual-2002	1991-1998, Intake, 1, 3, and 6 months during treatment, 12 and 48 month follow-ups	2001-2003	Annual-1987	Biennial-2001
7	6	1	20	4
http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/cocoo n/SAMHDA/STUDY/26221.xml http://wwwdasis.samhsa.gov/webt, /tedsweb/tab_year.choose_year state_profile?t_state=CA	http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/cocoo n/SAMHDA/STUDY/02258.xml http://www.datos.org/	http://www.icosr.umich.edu/CPES /data.html	http://www.druafree.org/Portal/Dr. ualssue/	http://www.chis.ucla.edu/get- data.html
Link state level treatment data with the CSS or state level CHKS ATOD use and risk/protective factor data to extrapolate information about treatment efficacy		This project links three nationally representative surveys: the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R), the National Survey of American Life (NSAL), and the National Latino and Asian American Study (NLAAS)	Compare risk/protective factor data with sources such as CHKS and Bach Harrison Youth Survey	
Descriptive	Predictive	Both	Descriptive	Both
N/A	Employment status, work history, and income, criminal justice status, living situation, and child custody status, mental health, and psychiatric diagnosis, medical and health-related data, HIV risk behaviors	psychological and health resources, personality, neighborhood, marital status, marital conflict, language proficiency and preference, discrimination, family cohesion, family history of substance use and abuse, personal and family history of psychiatric problems, childhood demographics, and	Knowledge of risks of drug use (e.g., becoming addicted, acting stupid, being paranoid, becoming lazy, getting depressed, dying, etc.), attitudes toward drug use, perceived availability of drugs, computer use, family history of drug abuse and intervention, ethnicity, language, parents' self confidence, parent perceptions of risk of drug use, parents	Health status (e.g., height, weight), health conditions (e.g., asthma, diabetes), mental health status and services, health behaviors (e.g., dietary intake, physical fitness), women's health, cancer history and prevention, dental health, food environment, neighborhood and housing, emergency preparedness, access to and use of health care services, health insurance, public program eligibility, interpersonal violence, parental involvement, child care, employment, income, demographics
	Abuse Treatment Services           N-SSATS           To collect information from all facilities in the United States, both public and private, that provide substance abuse treatment           Agencies that provide AOD treatment           Agencies that provide AOD treatment           Nationally representative, approximately 13,700 agencies; State representative, approximately 1,700 agencies in California           Annual-2002           7           http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/coccoo.nt/SAMHDAVSTUDY/26221.xml           http://www.dasis.samhsa.gov/webt/           http://www.dasis.samhsa.gov/webt/           index.profile?t.state=CA           Link state level treatment data with the CSS or state level CHKS ATOD use and risk/protective factor data to extrapolate information about treatment efficacy           Descriptive	Abuse Treatment Services     Studies       N-SSATS     DATOS       To collect information from all facilities in the United States, both public and private, that provide about the effectiveness of drug abuse treatment as it is typically delivered in the United States     To advance scientific knowledge about the effectiveness of drug abuse treatment as it is typically delivered in the United States       Agencies that provide AOD treatment     Individuals aged 18 and older       Nationally representative, approximately 10,000 clients at follow-up in 11 representative mid-size approximately 10,000 clients at follow-up in 11 representative mid-size and 1300 clients at follow-up in 11 representative mid-size and large US cities including San Jose, CA       Annual-2002     1991-1998, Intake, 1, 3, and 6 months during treatment, 12 and 48 month follow-ups       7     6       http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/cocco.nr/SAMHDA/STUDY/02258.xml       http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/cocco.nr/SAMHDA/STUDY/02258.xml       http://www.datos.org/       Link state level treatment data with the CSS or state level CHKS ATOD use and risk/protective factor data to extrapolate information about treatment efficacy       Descriptive     Predictive       N/A     Employment status, work history, and income, criminal justice status, living situation, and child custody status, mental health, and psychiatric diagnosis, metad health-related data, state states state states and state states state states state state states state states state states state states state state state state states state states state state state stat	Abuse Treatment Services         Studies         Epidemiology Surveys           N-SSATS         DATOS         CPES           To collect information from all facilities in the United States, both public and private, that provide abust treatment as it is typically abustance abuse treatment         To advance scientific knowledge abuse treatment as it is typically abuse treatment as it is typically abuse treatment as it is typically abuse treatment as an it is typically abuse treatment as a the typically abuse treatment as a the typically abuse treatment as a the typically abuse treatment abuse treatment and a san older         Individuals aged 18 and older           Nationally representative, approximately 13.700 agencies: State representative, approximately 13.700 agencies: State representative, approximately 13.700 agencies: and large US cites including San actifornia         Nationally representative, approximately 20,000           7         6         1           7         6         1           11tb://www.icosr.umich edu/cocco nt/SAMHOA/STUDY/Q228.sml         Indiv/www.icosr.umich edu/CPES. Mational Comorbidity Survey Replication (S-R), the National Survey of American Life (NSAL), and the National Lation and Asian American Study (NLAAS)           N/A         Predictive         Both	Abuse Treatment Services         Studies         Epidemiology Surveys         Study           N-SSATS         DATOS         CPES         PATS           To collect information from all facilities in the United States, both abuse treatment         To advance scientific knowledge abuse treatment as it is typically abuse treatment abuse treatment as it intervience in the field of treatment abuse treatment abuse provintely 1700 agencies and tage US clies including San and tage US clies including sSAMHOA/STUDV/2022 taget then/awww doors unrich edu/CPES then/awww doors unrich edu/CPES then/awww doors unrich edu/CPES then/awww doors unrich edu/CPES then/awww doors and mas/protective fact html         Annual-1987           NA         Employment status, work histor, and increated abuse treatment data with clies and clies core, clies family conflict, religion, pach/abs/protective factor dat with soucres such as CHKS and the National Latrin and Asian HI

Please refer to the CPI website for a full matrix of all the topics listed in the glossary. www.ca-cpi.org

Type of Drug by Indicator

	N	Ionite		The TF)	Futu	re	Na	ationa Us	e and	rvey o d Hea DUH)		ug	Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System (YRBSS)						
	Age at First Use	Past Month Use	30 Day Use	6 Month Use	12 Month Use	Lifetime Use	Age at First Use	Past Month Use	30 Day Use	6 Month Use	12 Month Use	Lifetime Use	Age at First Use	Past Month Use	30 Day Use	6 Month Use	12 Month Use	Lifetime Use	
Alcohol			х		х	х	х	х			х	х							
Amphetamines (Stimulants)			x		x	x	x	x			x	x							
Barbiturates (Tranquilizers)		1	x		x	x	x	x			x	x							
Binge Drinking			~		Ê	~	Â	Â			~	Â							
Cocaine			x		x	x	x	x			х	x	x		x			х	
Cough Medicine			^		^	^	Â	^			^	^	Â		^			~	
Crack Cocaine			х		x	х	х	х			х	x	x		x			x	
Ecstasy			x		x	x	Â	Â			~	Â	x		x			x	
GHB			x		x	x							Â		~			<u> </u>	
Hallucinogens		1	x		x	x	х	х			х	x	х		x			x	
Hashish		1	x		x	x	~	~			~	~	~		~			~	
Heroin		1	x		x	x	х	х			х	х	х		х			x	
Illegal Drugs (Other)			~		~	~	~	~			~	~	~		~			~	
Inhalants					1		х	х			х	х	х		х			x	
IV Drugs							~	~			~	~	x		x			x	
Ketamine													~		~			~	
LSD			х		x	х													
Marijuana			x		x	x	х	х			х	х	х		х			x	
MDMA			~		~	~	~	~			~	~	~		~			~	
Methamphetamine			х		x	х							х		х			x	
Nonmedical Use of Prescription Drugs							х	х			х	х							
Opiates																			
OTC Medications			х		х	х													
Pain Relievers							х	х			х	х							
Phenoxydine																			
Polydrug																			
Prescription Medications			х		х	х													
Psychedelics (Other)																			
Quaaludes			х		х	х													
Ritalin			х		х	х													
School Level Drugs (Other)																			
Sedatives							х	х			х	х							
Smokeless Tobacco			х		х	х													
Steroids													х		х			х	
Торассо			х		х	х	х	х			х	х	х		х			х	

C	alifor	California Student Survey					Cali	California Safe and Healthy Kids Program (CA-SHKP)					Bach Harrison Youth Survey (Prevention Needs Assessment Youth Survey)						The Partnership Attitude Tracking Study (PATS)											
Age at First Use	Past Month Use	30 Day Use	6 Month Use	12 Month Use	Lifetime Use	Age at First Use	Past Month Use	30 Day Use	6 Month Use	12 Month Use	Lifetime Use	Age at First Use	Past Month Use	30 Day Use	6 Month Use	12 Month Use	Lifetime Use	Age at First Use	Past Month Use	30 Day Use	6 Month Use	12 Month Use	Lifetime Use	Age at First Use	Past Month Use	30 Day Use	6 Month Use	12 Month Use	Lifetime Use	
х		х			х			х	х		х			х				х		х			х		х			х	х	
х		х			х			х	х		х									х			х							
						1		1										1					х							
х		х			х			х	х		х														х			х	х	
																							х							
																				х			х		х			х	х	
х		х			х			х	х		х														х			х	х	
																									х			х	х	
																				х			х							
х		х			х			х	х		х														х			х	х	
х		х			х			х	х		х																			
х		х			х			х	х		х									х			х		Х			х	х	
																									Х			х	х	
х		х			х			х	х		Х	<u> </u>													х			х	х	
х		х			х			х	х		х			х				х		х			х		Х			х	х	
												<u> </u>								х			х							
Х		х			х			х	х		х	-													х			х	х	
																				х			х							
								х	х		х																			
х		х			х			х	х		х																			
						1		1										х		х			х							
								х	х		х																			
																									х			х	х	
х		х			х			х	х		х																			
														х																
																				х			х							
																									х			х	х	
х		х			х			х	х		х			х				х		х			х		х			х	х	

# Data Aggregation

	S	SAS, arated	SAS, arated	SAS	sPSS	sports	ıf data	ıf data	AS, rated, s	AS, rated, s	SAS, ysis	from · Drug a	e data data gh	
	Data Formats	ASCII, SPSS, SAS, STATA, Tab separated	ASCII, SPSS, SAS, STATA, Tab separated	ASCII, SPSS, SAS	PDF tables, or SPSS for a fee	Online biennial reports	Online summary of data	Online summary of data	ASCII, SPSS, SAS, STATA, Tab separated, online reports	ASCII, SPSS, SAS, STATA, Tab separated, online reports	SPSS, STATA, SAS, online data analysis	Online reports from Partnership for a Drug Free America	SAS, SPSS, state data formats, online data analysis through AskCHIS	
	Ethnicity	Yes, 7 categories	Yes, 7 categories	Yes, 5 categories	Yes, 7 categories	Yes, 7 categories	No	Yes, 7 categories	N	Yes, 6 categories	Yes, 12 categories	Yes, 5 categories	Yes, 7 categories	
	Cross-tabs	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	
ed by	Local	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	
Data Aggregated by	County	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	
	State	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	
	Regional	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	N	
	National	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N	
	Data Source	Monitoring The Future (MTF)	National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH)	Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System (YRBSS)	California Healthy Kids Survey (CHKS)	California Student Survey (CSS)	California Safe and Healthy Kids Program (CA-SHKP)	Bach Harrison Youth Survey (Prevention Needs Assessment Youth Survey)	National Survey of Substance Abuse Treatment Services (N-SSATS)	Drug Abuse Treatment Outcome Studies (DATOS)	Collaborative Psychiatric Epidemiology Surveys (CPES)	The Partnership Attitude Tracking Study (PATS)	California Health Interview Survey (CHIS)	

## RESEARCH to PRACTICE: Trends in the Field

### **Data Matrix Glossary**

Access – provides a link to the web site where the data are stored.

**Data Collection** – describes when the respondents were interviewed, including the year data collection began and ended, and whether data collection occurred every year (annual) or every other year (biennial).

Waves of Data - lists the number of times data was collected.

### Type of Sample

- A sample is representative to the extent that it has the same distribution of characteristics as the population
  from which it was selected; our ability to generalize from sample to population is critically dependent on
  representativeness. The data sources in this matrix are representative at the national, state, county, school
  district, and/or individual school level. Data collected from a nationally representative sample can be
  generalized to all people living in the US from the same age group. Data collected from a state representative
  sample can only be generalized to all people living in that state from the same age group.
- Convenience samples are a type of sample that results when availability and willingness to respond are the overriding factors used in selecting respondents. Convenience samples are generally low in representativeness because each person in the population did not have an equal chance of being interviewed.
- The sample size is the number of people interviewed, which is only a subset of the population.

**AOD Screening** – describes the questions asked about alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use, including frequency of use (how often), quantity of use (how much), substance abuse diagnosis (meets criteria for a disorder according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM)).

Survey Responders – gives a brief description of who responded to questions about substance use.

Data Formats – lists the types of statistical software packages that can be used to analyze the data.

### **Study Design**

- Cross-sectional research design in which the data was collected from one or more samples of the population at one time.
- Longitudinal research design in which the same sample of respondents is interviewed more than once.

### **Descriptive/Predictive**

- Descriptive data sources can be used to describe AOD use via frequencies and average levels of behaviors, but not to make predictions about other factors that are related to substance use.
- Predictive data sources provide access to raw (original) data which gives researchers the opportunity to make predictions about people's average substance use.

### **Risk/Protective factors**

- A risk factor is a condition, context, experience, or individual characteristic for which exposure has been shown to increase the probability that an individual will use substances.
- A protective factor is a resource that decreases the likelihood that an individual exposed to significant risk will use substances.

Manuscripts/reports – provides a link to published manuscripts and reports using the data source.

**Triangulation tips** – describes how data sources can be studied together to find an overlap in results.





Community Prevention Initiative 923 College Avenue Santa Rosa, CA 95404

### Visit us online at:

### www.ca-cpi.org

The Community Prevention Initiative (CPI) is administered by the Center for Applied Research Solutions (CARS) and funded and directed by the California Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs.

Let's Hear From You! Call us at 1-877-568-4227 or Email us at <u>carsinfo@cars-rp.org</u>



© 2011 by the Center for Applied Research Solutions (CARS) Permission to reproduce is granted, provided credit is given.

This publication can be made available in Braille, large print, computer disk, or tape cassette as disability-related reasonable accommodation for an individual with a disability.